March 12, 1974

people would it take to record all this information. Could you answer that SEnator Kelly?

PRESIDENT: Senator Kelly, do you care to respond?

SENATOR KELLY: Yes, Mr. President, I would respond. With .. as the bill is now without my amendments. All the people that would be running for office in the State of Nebraska would be covered by this bill, and the delugion of Secretary of State's office would be great. However, the amendments that we turned down just a few minutes ago include those people that are covered in Nebraska constitution, namely the constitutional officers, the Legislature, Public Service Commission, the Board of Regents. And that would not be a deluge, and it would be the leadership from the leader offices in the state of Nebraska. That when we make full disclosure of what we are doing, if would give leadership for all other candidates in Nebraska to follow our leadership. There would not be a deluge with the amendments, Senator Nore.

PRESIDENT: SEnator Nore.

SENATOR NORE: Could all these reports be filed under different file for each candidate? And not have to be investigated. In case of an investigation it would be there. Is that the way it would operate.

SENATOR KELLY: Yes, these would be in the Secretary of States Office for pub lic inspection on a monthly basis until just before the election, and they would be there on a bi-monthly basis. And they are there for inspection only. They are not there for correction or for enforcement by Secretary of State. The Cavanaugh Mahoney Amendment would change the depository of these reports from the Secretary of State to a newly created Election Commission.

PRESIDENT: Next to speak is SEnator Stahmer. We still have the kill motion, and then Senator Anderson.

SENATOR STAHMER: Mr. President, and members of the body. Very quickly I'd like to support Senator Kelly's bill and speak in opposition to this amendment. I think Senator Kelly is to be lauded for introducing this bill, I think it would be a tragedy to have it killed, and I think whatever defect in it can be corrected. I certainly would urge the members present not to vote to kill this bill.

PRESIDENT: Senator Anderson.

SENATOR ANDERSON: Mr. President, and members of the Legislature. I to rise in opposition of the kill motion. We have been hearing just in the last couple days again conversation about spending limits, contribution limits, and what kind of limits we can put on political campaigns in order to achieve an elimination of so called corruption. I think we're beginning to realize that all of these kind of limitations are things that just are not going to work, are not going to make any difference. We have a limit proposed that would really make any difference anyway. But I think what SEnator Kelly is talking about with this particular bill, this concept is probably the only idea that has come down